STATE OF MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF OIL AND GAS CONSERVATION MEETING

CALL TO ORDER

The regular business meeting of the Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation was called to order by Chairman Linda Nelson at 2:00 p.m., Wednesday, April 11, 2007, in the conference room of the Petroleum Club on the 22nd floor of the Crowne Plaza Billings in Billings, Montana. Chairman Linda Nelson introduced newly-appointed Board members Ronald S. Efta and Bret Smelser. Other Board members present were Don Bradshaw, Jack King, Wayne Smith and Joan Stahl. Staff present were Jim Halvorson, George Hudak, Rich Jacobson, Terri Perrigo, Norman Clyde Peterson, Tom Richmond and Steve Sasaki.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

MOTION: Mr. King made a motion to approve the minutes of the February 14, 2007 business meeting. The motion was seconded by Ms. Stahl and passed unanimously.

OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

TOI Operating/Peterson 28-1 Well

Ms. Barb Hanson, a surface and mineral owner, was present to ask that the Peterson 28-1 well operated by TOI Operating be removed and the wellsite reclaimed. She appeared before the Board regarding this same matter about a year ago. She said the well is in very poor shape, doesn't produce much, the sheds are falling down and the tanks are bad.

Chairman Nelson suggested TOI come to the next hearing and tell the Board what the plan is for the well and why it isn't cleaned up. Mr. Smith would like to see some pictures to see what the condition is and what's been done. Mr. Richmond said the Board can't make TOI plug the well because the lease is active, but the Board can make them clean up the location

MOTION: Mr. Smelser made a motion, seconded by Ms. Stahl and unanimously passed, to docket a Show-Cause hearing for TOI Operating for the June 2007 hearing to hear what the plans are for the Peterson 28-1 well and why the site hasn't been cleaned up.

ALL Consulting

Mr. Dan Arthur of ALL Consulting gave an update on a Department of Energy produced water project his company has been working on as co-researcher with the Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission (IOGCC). He handed out a product of this project, a brochure with a CD on practical management of produced water.

Mr. Arthur also gave an update on Pinnacle's injection of coal bed methane water, which was required as part of the Board's approval of Pinnacle's UIC application. These are the first Class II injection wells in the Powder River Basin. Pinnacle did injection tests which were very promising. They plan to inject into dry coal reservoirs and the tests show their plans appear feasible. He will give a further update as more information becomes available.

BOND REPORT

Mr. Jacobson presented the bond report attached as Exhibit 1.

Mr. Jacobson recommends the \$200,000 UIC bond of Encore Energy Partners Operating covering 14 wells should be considered a UIC limited bond. Mr. Hudak agreed.

Hawley Oil Company is in compliance with bonding requirements regarding its two expiring letters of credit. They took out an \$18,000 certificate of deposit to replace one, and Stockman Bank extended the other for one year. Stockman Bank said it sent notice of both pending cancellations, but Mr. Jacobson only received notice for one. He is concerned the Board may have the burden of proof if it were challenged as to whether the notice was received or not. He suggested the attorney look at Board rules regarding this matter.

Mr. Jacobson distributed a letter to B.W.O.C. Inc. from Wells Fargo Bank stating it will not automatically extend their \$50,000 letter of credit past August 26, 2007. Mr. Jacobson recommended if B.W.O.C. has not taken care of this matter by the June business meeting, the Board issue a show-cause order for them to appear at the July hearing.

Mr. Jacobson received a letter from Amsouth Bank, which issued a letter of credit to Highline Exploration Company, Inc, . saying it has merged into Regions Bank; and that existing letters of credit will be continued until maturity, but they will be divesting themselves of these letters of credit as they mature. Mr. Jacobson will write to Highline Exploration, whose letter of credit covering 18 wells expires in December 2007, to tell them he received the letter and to remind them to secure a replacement bond by the expiration date.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Ms. Perrigo presented the financial report attached as Exhibit 2. She gave a detailed explanation of the information on the report for the benefit of new Board members.

DEFAULT DOCKET

Mr. Halvorson presented the Default Docket and a review of its history for the benefit of new Board members. Up until 2000, the Board typically heard less than 100 applications per year. After that, there were sometimes 100 applications per hearing. The Board instituted a policy where certain categories of applications could be placed on a Default Docket. Applications that fit these categories don't actually have to be heard, but are put on a Default Docket and approved as part of a blanket motion at 11:30 am on the day of the public hearing. If anyone protests or requests that an application be heard, it will be removed from the Default Docket.

Docket 73-2007 was originally filed as an application for a 320-acre temporary spacing unit, which fit the Default Docket criteria. During the review of Default Docket applications, it was determined that 160 acres in the proposed temporary spacing unit was already spaced. The applicant subsequently amended the application and, even though it still met Default Docket criteria, Mr. Halvorson removed it from the Default Docket because the application has been amended from what was "noticed." The application can still be heard, however, because notice was given for an area larger than what is now being requested.

Mr. Halvorson said Docket 131-2007, the Show-Cause hearing for Hawley Oil Company, can be dismissed because the expiring certificate of deposit was renewed for a year.

STAFF REPORTS

Mr. King knows the Board has a Memo of Understanding with BLM about hearings, but an issue has been raised about BLM staff questioning witnesses at Board hearings. He would like the Board's attorney to look into this and report back at the next business meeting.

Mr. Sasaki presented the grant project status report attached as Exhibit 3. Mr. Bradshaw said IOGCC is trying to get additional funding from the 2005 energy bill to do well plugging.

Mr. Sasaki distributed Exhibit 4 and said Constitution Gas Transport Company (Constitution) was supposed to return for permanent spacing within 60 days of commercial production on its Burlington Resources 1-25 PC well. Constitution said they would submit an application for the April hearing. They didn't. Mr. Sasaki recommends Constitution be fined \$500 for failure to apply for permanent spacing within 60 days of commercial production and that they be required to apply for permanent spacing by May 17, 2007 or be scheduled for show cause hearing in June.

MOTION: A motion was made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Smelser and unanimously passed, to: a) fine Constitution \$500 for failure to apply for permanent spacing within 60 days of commercial production on the Burlington Resources 1-25 PC well; and b) require Constitution apply for permanent spacing for the aforementioned well by May 17, 2007, or a Show-Cause hearing will be scheduled for June 2007 as to why the well shouldn't be plugged.

Mr. Richmond gave a legislative update on the budget and other bills affecting the Board. The Division budget has been restored to what was originally requested, the addition to the Billings office is still included as requested in the long range building bill, the well plugging grants are progressing through as requested in another bill, and the split estate bill passed and makes failure to give surface owner's notice of drilling a violation of Board rules. There were four CO2 bills that could affect the Board: eminent domain, carbon sequestration, tax incentives and a study bill. Mr. Richmond thinks the only one that will pass is the study bill. The RIT bill as written eliminates the Board's statutory appropriation to spend damage mitigation funds, but it was *supposed* to take away the \$50,000 biennial statutory appropriation to the damage mitigation account from RIT interest instead. The sponsor told Mr. Richmond an amendment will be submitted to fix the mistake.

Chairman Nelson said the Board needed to elect a Vice-Chairman. Ms. Stahl nominated Mr. Smith. There were no other nominations.

MOTION: Ms. Stahl moved to make a unanimous ballot for Mr. Smith to be Vice-Chairman. Mr. Bradshaw seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Stahl asked about the essay contest. Mr. King said 123 essays were submitted. A review committee narrowed it down to 23 finalists based on rigid criteria. The winners will be announced in May.

Mr. Bradshaw reported on his recent trip to Washington DC for an Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission (IOGCC) meeting. The IOGCC is asking for \$10 million for plugging and clean-up of wells and wellsites. If funded, money will come from the Department of Energy to the IOGCC which will distribute it to states.

Mr. Bradshaw distributed a letter from The Energy Council, attached as Exhibit 5. He met with the Governor about joining The Energy Council. The Governor suggested the Board of Oil and Gas vote on whether or not to join and if so to include the funds in the next EPP request.

PUBLIC HEARING

The Board reconvened at 8:00 a.m. Thursday April 12, 2007, in the conference room of the Billings Petroleum Club on the 22nd floor of the Crowne Plaza Billings, to hear the matters docketed for public hearing. As a result of the discussion, testimony and technical data placed before the Board, the following action was taken in each matter.

Docket No. 48-2007 - The application of PT Energy, LLC was continued to the June 2007 hearing.

<u>Docket No. 49-2007</u> – Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Enerplus Resources (USA) Corporation was approved as set forth in Board Order 47-2007.

<u>Docket No. 50-2007</u> – Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Enerplus Resources (USA) Corporation was approved as set forth in Board Order 48-2007.

<u>Docket No. 51-2007</u> – Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Enerplus Resources (USA) Corporation was approved as set forth in Board Order 49-2007.

<u>Docket No. 52-2007</u> – Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Enerplus Resources (USA) Corporation was approved as set forth in Board Order 50-2007.

<u>Docket No. 53-2007</u> – Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Enerplus Resources (USA) Corporation was approved as set forth in Board Order 51-2007.

<u>Docket No. 54-2007</u> – Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Enerplus Resources (USA) Corporation was approved as set forth in Board Order 52-2007.

<u>Docket No. 55-2007</u> – Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Enerplus Resources (USA) Corporation was approved as set forth in Board Order 53-2007.

Docket No. 56-2007 - The application of Headington Oil, Limited Partnership was withdrawn.

<u>Docket No. 57-2007</u> – A motion was made by Ms. Stahl, seconded by Mr. Bradshaw and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Headington Oil, Limited Partnership as set forth in Board Order 54-2007.

<u>Docket No. 58-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Smelser, seconded by Mr. Bradshaw and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Headington Oil, Limited Partnership as set forth in Board Order 55-2007.

<u>Docket No. 59-2007</u> – A motion was made by Ms. Stahl, seconded by Mr. Bradshaw and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Headington Oil, Limited Partnership as set forth in Board Order 56-2007.

<u>Docket No. 60-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Smelser, seconded by Ms. Stahl and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Headington Oil, Limited Partnership as set forth in Board Order 57-2007.

<u>Docket No. 61-2007</u> – Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Coastal Petroleum Company was approved as set forth in Board Order 58-2007.

<u>Docket No. 62-2007</u> – Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Stone Energy Corporation was approved as set forth in Board Order 59-2007.

<u>Docket No. 63-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Smelser, seconded by Mr. Bradshaw and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Stone Energy Corporation as set forth in Board Order 60-2007.

<u>Docket No. 64-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Bradshaw, seconded by Mr. Smith and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Stone Energy Corporation as set forth in Board Order 61-2007.

<u>Docket No. 65-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Smelser and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Stone Energy Corporation as set forth in Board Order 62-2007.

<u>Docket No. 66-2007</u> – Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Stone Energy Corporation was approved as set forth in Board Order 63-2007.

<u>Docket No. 67-2007</u> – Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Stone Energy Corporation was approved as set forth in Board Order 64-2007.

<u>Docket No. 68-2007</u> – Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Stone Energy Corporation was approved as set forth in Board Order 65-2007.

<u>Docket No. 69-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. King, seconded by Ms. Stahl and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Stone Energy Corporation as set forth in Board Order 66-2007.

<u>Docket No. 70-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Bradshaw and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Stone Energy Corporation as set forth in Board Order 67-2007.

<u>Docket No. 71-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Bradshaw, seconded by Mr. Efta and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Forest Oil Corporation as set forth in Board Order 68-2007.

<u>Docket No. 72-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Smelser, seconded by Mr. Efta and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Forest Oil Corporation as set forth in Board Order 69-2007.

<u>Docket No. 73-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Efta, seconded by Ms. Stahl and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Klabzuba Oil & Gas, Inc. as modified and set forth in Board Order 70-2007.

<u>Docket No. 74-2007</u> – Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Klabzuba Oil & Gas, Inc. was approved as set forth in Board Order 71-2007.

<u>Docket No. 75-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Bradshaw and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Klabzuba Oil & Gas, Inc. as set forth in Board Order 72-2007.

<u>Docket No. 76-2007</u> – Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of PrimeWest Petroleum, Inc. was approved as set forth in Board Order 73-2007.

<u>Docket No. 77-2007</u> – Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of PrimeWest Petroleum, Inc. was approved as set forth in Board Order 74-2007.

<u>Docket No. 78-2007</u> – The application of PrimeWest Petroleum, Inc. was continued to the June 2007 hearing.

<u>Docket No. 79-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Bradshaw, seconded by Mr. King and unanimously passed, to grant conditional approval of the application of Pinnacle Gas Resources, Inc. as set forth in Board Order 75-2007.

<u>Docket No. 80-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Bradshaw, seconded by Mr. King and unanimously passed, to grant conditional approval of the application of Pinnacle Gas Resources, Inc. as set forth in Board Order 76-2007.

Docket No. 81-2007 - The application of Pinnacle Gas Resources, Inc. was withdrawn.

<u>Docket No. 82-2007</u> – The application of Pinnacle Gas Resources, Inc. was continued to the June 2007 public hearing.

<u>Docket No. 83-2007</u>— A motion was made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Efta and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Noble Energy, Inc. as set forth in Board Order 77-2007.

<u>Docket No. 84-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Efta and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Noble Energy, Inc. as set forth in Board Order 78-2007.

<u>Docket No. 85-2007 & 6-2007 FED</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Efta and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Noble Energy, Inc. as set forth in Board Order 79-2007. The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) of the United States Department of the Interior will issue the order pertaining to the federal and/or Indian lands contained in this application.

<u>Docket No. 86-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Efta and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Noble Energy, Inc. as set forth in Board Order 80-2007.

<u>Docket No. 87-2007</u> – Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Montana Land & Exploration, Inc. was approved as set forth in Board Order 81-2007.

<u>Docket No. 88-2007</u> – Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Montana Land & Exploration, Inc. was approved as set forth in Board Order 82-2007.

<u>Docket No. 89-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Bradshaw, seconded by Mr. Efta and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Montana Land & Exploration as modified and set forth in Board Order 83-2007.

<u>Docket No. 90-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Bradshaw, seconded by Mr. Smith and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Montana Land & Exploration as set forth in Board Order 84-2007.

<u>Docket No. 91-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Bradshaw, seconded by Mr. Efta and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Montana Land & Exploration as modified and set forth in Board Order 85-2007.

Docket No. 92-2007 - The application of Encore Operating, L.P. was withdrawn.

Docket No. 93-2007 - The application of Encore Operating, L.P. was continued to the June 2007 hearing.

<u>Docket No. 94-2007</u> – The application of Continental Resources, Inc. was continued to the June 2007 hearing.

<u>Docket No. 95-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Bradshaw, seconded by Ms. Stahl and unanimously passed, to approve the application Continental Resources, Inc. as set forth in Board Order 86-2007.

<u>Docket No. 96-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Bradshaw, seconded by Ms. Stahl and unanimously passed, to approve the application Continental Resources, Inc. as set forth in Board Order 87-2007

<u>Docket No. 97-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Bradshaw, seconded by Ms. Stahl and unanimously passed, to approve the application Continental Resources, Inc. as set forth in Board Order 88-2007

<u>Docket No. 98-2007</u> – Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Continental Resources, Inc. was approved as set forth in Board Order 89-2007.

<u>Docket No. 99-2007</u> – A motion was made by Ms. Stahl, seconded by Mr. Bradshaw and unanimously passed, to approve the application Continental Resources, Inc. as set forth in Board Order 90-2007

<u>Docket No. 100-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. King, seconded by Mr. Efta and unanimously passed, to approve the application Nance Petroleum Corporation as set forth in Board Order 91-2007

<u>Docket No. 101-2007 & 4-2007 FED</u> – No Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Order was issued, as this application contains 100 percent federal lands. The BLM will issue the order for this application.

<u>Docket No. 102-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Bradshaw, seconded by Ms. Stahl and unanimously passed, to approve the application Nance Petroleum Corporation as set forth in Board Order 92-2007

<u>Docket No. 103-2007</u>—Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. was approved as set forth in Board Order 93-2007.

<u>Docket No. 104-2007</u>– Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. was approved as set forth in Board Order 94-2007.

<u>Docket No. 105-2007</u>— Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. was approved as set forth in Board Order 95-2007.

<u>Docket No. 106-2007</u>— Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. was approved as set forth in Board Order 96-2007.

<u>Docket No. 107-2007</u> – The application of Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. was continued to the June 2007 public hearing.

<u>Docket No. 108-2007</u>— Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. was approved as set forth in Board Order 97-2007.

<u>Docket No. 109-2007</u>– Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. was approved as set forth in Board Order 98-2007.

<u>Docket No. 110-2007</u>—Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. was approved as set forth in Board Order 99-2007.

<u>Docket No. 111-2007</u>– Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. was approved as set forth in Board Order 100-2007.

<u>Docket No. 112-2007</u>— Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. was approved as set forth in Board Order 101-2007.

<u>Docket No. 113-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Smelser, seconded by Mr. Bradshaw and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. as set forth in Board Order 102-2007.

<u>Docket No. 114-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Bradshaw, seconded by Mr. Smelser and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. as set forth in Board Order 103-2007.

<u>Docket No. 115-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. King and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. as set forth in Board Order 104-2007.

<u>Docket No. 116-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Bradshaw, seconded by Mr. Efta and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. as set forth in Board Order 105-2007.

<u>Docket No. 117-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. King, seconded by Mr. Smelser and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. as modified and set forth in Board Order 106-2007.

<u>Docket No. 118-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Efta, seconded by Mr. Bradshaw and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. as set forth in Board Order 107-2007.

<u>Docket No. 119-2007</u> – Board staff placed this application on the Default Docket for approval without hearing if no protests were received by 11:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing. No protests were received. The application of Baldwin Exploration was approved as set forth in Board Order 108-2007.

<u>Docket No. 120-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Smelser, seconded by Ms. Stahl and unanimously passed, to continue the application of Americana Exploration, LLC to the June 2007 hearing as set forth in Board Order 109-2007.

<u>Docket No. 121-2007</u> – The application of Americana Exploration, LLC was continued to the June 2007 hearing.

<u>Docket No. 122-2007</u> – The application of Americana Exploration, LLC was continued to the June 2007 hearing.

<u>Docket No. 123-2007</u> – The application of Americana Exploration, LLC was continued to the June 2007 hearing.

<u>Docket No. 124-2007</u> – The application of Americana Exploration, LLC was continued to the June 2007 hearing.

<u>Docket No. 125-2007</u> – The application of Americana Exploration, LLC was continued to the June 2007 hearing.

<u>Docket No. 126-2007</u> – The application of Americana Exploration, LLC was continued to the June 2007 hearing.

<u>Docket No. 127-2007</u> – The application of Americana Exploration, LLC was continued to the June 2007 hearing.

<u>Docket No. 128-2007</u> – The application of Americana Exploration, LLC was continued to the June 2007 hearing.

<u>Docket No. 129-2007</u> – The application of Americana Exploration, LLC was continued to the June 2007 hearing.

<u>Docket No. 130-2007</u> – The application of Americana Exploration, LLC was continued to the June 2007 hearing.

Docket No. 2-2007 – The application of Headington Oil, Limited Partnership was withdrawn.

<u>Docket No. 38-2007</u> – A motion was made by Mr. Smelser, seconded by Mr. Efta and unanimously passed, to approve the application of Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. as set forth in Board Order 110-2007.

<u>Docket No. 131-2007</u> – The application of Hawley Oil Company was dismissed, as a one-year replacement bond was secured.

NEXT MEETING

The next business meeting of the Board will be Wednesday, June 13, 2007 at 2:00 p.m. in the Billings Petroleum Club in the Crowne Plaza Billings in Billings, Montana. The next regular public hearing will be Thursday, June 14, 2007, beginning at 8:00 a.m. in the conference room of the Billings Petroleum Club in the Crowne Plaza Billings, in Billings, Montana. The filing deadline for the June 14, 2007 public hearing is May 17, 2007.

BOARD OF OIL AND GAS CONSERVATION OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

Linda Nelson, Chairwoman

Wayne Smith, Vice-Chairman

Don Bradshaw

Ronald S. Efta

Jack King

Bret Smelser

Joan Stahl

ATTEST

Terri H. Perrigo, Executive Secretary